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## Eisenstein series identities

## Definition

For even $k>2$ the Eisenstein series of weight $k$ is defined by

$$
G_{k}(\tau)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \backslash(0,0)} \frac{1}{(m \tau+n)^{k}}=\zeta(k)+\frac{(2 \pi i)^{k}}{(k-1)!} \sum_{n>0} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^{n}
$$

where $\tau \in\{x+i y \in \mathbb{C} \mid y>0\}, q=\exp (2 \pi i \tau)$ and $\sigma_{k-1}(n)=\sum_{d \mid n} d^{k-1}$.

- The Eisenstein series $G_{k}$ is a modular form of weight $k$ for $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.
- The spaces of modular forms for $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ and their dimensions are well understood.
- For even $k$ the Riemann zeta values $\zeta(k)$ are known to be rational multiples of $\pi^{k}$, e.g.

$$
\zeta(2)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}, \quad \zeta(4)=\frac{\pi^{4}}{90}, \quad \zeta(6)=\frac{\pi^{6}}{945}, \quad \zeta(8)=\frac{\pi^{8}}{9450}
$$

## Eisenstein series identities

With all this knowledge the following Propositon ist absolutely trivial:

## Proposition

We have the following identity

$$
G_{4}^{2}(\tau)=\frac{7}{6} G_{8}(\tau)
$$

Proof:

- $G_{4}^{2}$ and $G_{8}$ are modular forms of weight 8
- The space of weight 8 modular forms has dimension 1 .
- Their Fourier expansion both have $\zeta(4)^{2}=\frac{7}{6} \zeta(8)$ as their constant term.
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But how do you prove this without knowing modular forms and $\zeta(4)^{2}=\frac{7}{6} \zeta(8)$ ?

## Aim of this talk

In this talk I try to present a purely combinatorial way to prove such relations.

For this we need...

- Multiple zeta values (MZV) - multiple version of the Riemann zeta values
- Double shuffle relations - a toolbox to prove relations between MZV
- Multiple Eisenstein series - multiple version of the Eisenstein series


## Multiple zeta values

## Definition

For natural numbers $s_{1} \geq 2, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{l} \geq 1$, the multiple zeta value (MZV) of weight $k=s_{1}+\cdots+s_{l}$ and length $l$ is defined by

$$
\zeta\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{l}\right):=\sum_{n_{1}>n_{2}>\cdots>n_{l}>0} \frac{1}{n_{1}^{s_{1}} \ldots n_{l}^{s_{l}}}
$$

By $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{Z}_{k}$ we denote the space spanned by all MZV of weight $k$ and by $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{Z}$ the space spanned by all MZV.

- The product of two MZV can be expressed as a linear combination of MZV with the same weight (harmonic product)
- MZV can be expressed as iterated integrals. This gives another way (shuffle product) to express the product of two MZV as a linear combination of MZV.
- These two products give a number of $\mathbb{Q}$-relations (double shuffle relations) between MZV.


## Multiple zeta values - harmonic product

In the smallest length the harmonic product reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta\left(s_{1}\right) \cdot \zeta\left(s_{2}\right) & =\sum_{n_{1}>0} \frac{1}{n_{1}^{s_{1}}} \sum_{n_{2}>0} \frac{1}{n_{2}^{s_{2}}} \\
& =\sum_{n_{1}>n_{2}>0} \frac{1}{n_{1}^{s_{1}} n_{2}^{s_{2}}}+\sum_{n_{2}>n_{1}>0} \frac{1}{n_{1}^{s_{1}} n_{2}^{s_{2}}}+\sum_{n_{1}=n_{2}>0} \frac{1}{n_{1}^{s_{1}+s_{2}}} \\
& =\zeta\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)+\zeta\left(s_{2}, s_{1}\right)+\zeta\left(s_{1}+s_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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For length 1 times length 2 the same argument gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta\left(s_{1}\right) \cdot \zeta\left(s_{2}, s_{3}\right) & =\zeta\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)+\zeta\left(s_{2}, s_{1}, s_{3}\right)+\zeta\left(s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{1}\right) \\
& +\zeta\left(s_{1}+s_{2}, s_{3}\right)+\zeta\left(s_{2}, s_{1}+s_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Multiple zeta values - shuffile product

Multiple zeta values can also be written as iterated integrals. For example

$$
\zeta(2,3)=\int_{1>t_{1}>t_{2}>t_{3}>t_{4}>t_{5}>0} \underbrace{R\left(t_{1}\right) B\left(t_{2}\right)}_{2} \underbrace{R\left(t_{3}\right) R\left(t_{4}\right) B\left(t_{5}\right)}_{3},
$$

with the differential forms $R(t)=\frac{d t}{t}$ and $B(t)=\frac{d t}{1-t}$.

## Multiple zeta values - shuffle product

Multiple zeta values can also be written as iterated integrals. For example

$$
\zeta(2,3)=\int_{1>t_{1}>t_{2}>t_{3}>t_{4}>t_{5}>0} \underbrace{R\left(t_{1}\right) B\left(t_{2}\right)}_{2} \underbrace{R\left(t_{3}\right) R\left(t_{4}\right) B\left(t_{5}\right)}_{3},
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with the differential forms $R(t)=\frac{d t}{t}$ and $B(t)=\frac{d t}{1-t}$.
Multiplying two such integrals results in the sum of all possible shuffles of the integrants

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta(2) \cdot \zeta(3) & =\int_{1>t_{1}>t_{2}>0} R\left(t_{1}\right) B\left(t_{2}\right) \cdot \int_{1>u_{1}>u_{2}>u_{3}>0} R\left(u_{1}\right) R\left(u_{2}\right) B\left(u_{3}\right) \\
& =\int_{1>t_{1}>t_{2}>u_{1}>u_{2}>u_{3}>0} \ldots+\int_{1>t_{1}>u_{1}>t_{2}>u_{2}>u_{3}>0} \ldots+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

## Multiple zeta values - shuffle product

Suppose we have two types of cards (red and blue).

- MZV correspond to a deck of these cards

- Multiplication of MZV corresponds to shuffling two of these decks (+counting multiplicities)



## Multiple zeta values - shuffle product - example

For example the product $\zeta(2) \cdot \zeta(3)$ can be evaluated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0-0+\cos \\
& \zeta(2) \cdot \zeta(3)=\zeta(2,3)+3 \zeta(3,2)+6 \zeta(4,1)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Multiple zeta-values - double shuffle relations

These two representations for the product give a large family of linear relations between MZV.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta(3,2)+3 \zeta(2,3) & +6 \zeta(4,1) \stackrel{\text { shuffle }}{=} \zeta(2) \cdot \zeta(3) \stackrel{\text { harmonic }}{=} \zeta(2,3)+\zeta(3,2)+\zeta(5) . \\
& \Longrightarrow 2 \zeta(2,3)+6 \zeta(4,1) \stackrel{\text { double shuffle }}{=} \zeta(5) .
\end{aligned}
$$

But there are more relations between MZV. e.g.:

$$
\zeta(2,1)=\zeta(3) .
$$

These follow from the "extended double shuffle relations" where one use the same combinatorics as above for " $\zeta(1) \cdot \zeta(2)$ " in a formal setting.

## Multiple zeta values - double shuffle relations - example

Now we have enough tools to prove $\zeta(4)^{2}=\frac{7}{6} \zeta(8)$.
With the harmonic ( h ) and shuffle (s) product we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \zeta(4) \cdot \zeta(4) \stackrel{\mathrm{h}}{=} 2 \zeta(4,4)+\zeta(8)  \tag{1}\\
& \zeta(4) \cdot \zeta(4) \stackrel{\mathrm{s}}{=} 2 \zeta(4,4)+8 \zeta(5,3)+20 \zeta(6,2)+40 \zeta(7,1)  \tag{2}\\
& \zeta(3) \cdot \zeta(5) \stackrel{\mathrm{h}}{=} \zeta(3,5)+\zeta(5,3)+\zeta(8)  \tag{3}\\
& \zeta(3) \cdot \zeta(5) \stackrel{\mathrm{s}}{=} \zeta(3,5)+3 \zeta(4,4)+7 \zeta(5,3)+15 \zeta(6,2)+30 \zeta(7,1) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

From which we deduce

$$
\zeta(4)^{2}=2 \zeta(4,4)+\zeta(8)=\underbrace{\frac{2}{3}((4)-(3))}_{=0}-\underbrace{\frac{1}{2}((2)-(1))}_{=0}+\frac{7}{6} \zeta(8)
$$

## Back to Eisenstein series..

But how can we prove $G_{4}(\tau)^{2}=\frac{7}{6} G_{8}(\tau)$ ?

- Introduce multiple Eisenstein series.
- Show that product of two multiple Eisenstein series can also be express by the harmonic and the shuffle product.
- With this one can use the exact same proof as before by replacing $\zeta$ with $G$.


## Multiple Eisenstein series

## Definition

For $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{l} \geq 2$ we define the multiple Eisenstein series of weight $k=s_{1}+\cdots+s_{l}$ and length $l$ by

$$
G_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{l}}(\tau):=\sum_{\substack{\lambda_{1} \succ \cdots \succ \lambda_{l} \succ 0 \\ \lambda_{i} \in \Lambda_{\tau}}}^{\prime} \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}^{s_{1}} \ldots \lambda_{l}^{s_{l}}},
$$

where $\lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{Z} \tau+\mathbb{Z}$ are lattice points and the order $\succ$ on $\mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z} \tau$ is given by

$$
m_{1} \tau+n_{1} \succ m_{2} \tau+n_{2}: \Leftrightarrow\left(m_{1}>m_{2} \vee\left(m_{1}=m_{2} \wedge n_{1}>n_{2}\right)\right)
$$

It is easy to see that these are holomorphic functions in the upper half plane and that they fulfill the harmonic product, i.e. as for MZV we have

$$
G_{2}(\tau) \cdot G_{3}(\tau)=G_{2,3}(\tau)+G_{3,2}(\tau)+G_{5}(\tau)
$$

## Multiple Eisenstein series - shuffle product?

What about the shuffle product?

Remember for MZV we have

$$
\zeta(2) \cdot \zeta(3)=\zeta(3,2)+3 \zeta(2,3)+6 \zeta(4,1)
$$

This equation does not make sense for multiple Eisenstein series if we replace $\zeta$ by $G$ since there is no definition of $G_{4,1}$.

## Question

What is a good definition of $G_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{l}}$ for $s_{1} \geq 2, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{l} \geq 1$ such these series also "fulfill" the shuffle product?

## Multiple Eisenstein series - shuffle regularization

## Theorem (B., K. Tasaka 2014)

For all $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{l} \geq 1$ there exist shuffle regularized multiple Eisenstein series $G_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{l}}^{\amalg}$ with the following properties:

- They are holomorphic functions on the upper half plane having a Fourier expansion with the multiple zeta values as the constant term.
- They "fulfill" the shuffle product.
- For integers $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{l} \geq 2$ they equal the multiple Eisenstein series

$$
G_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{l}}^{\mathrm{U}}(\tau)=G_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{l}}(\tau)
$$

and therefore they fulfill the harmonic product in these cases.
Proof sketch: Uses a beautiful connection of the Fourier expansion of multiple Eisenstein series to the coproduct of formal iterated integrals.

## Double shuffle relations for multiple Eisenstein series

The Theorem enables one to use the double shuffle relations for products of multiple
Eisenstein series $G_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{l}} \cdot G_{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m}}$ whenever $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{l}, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m} \geq 2$.

## Proposition

We have the following identity

$$
G_{4}^{2}(\tau)=\frac{7}{6} G_{8}(\tau)
$$

Alternative proof: Use the double shuffle relations for $G_{4} \cdot G_{4}$ and $G_{3} \cdot G_{5}$.

All algebraic relations between Eisenstein series can be proven this way.

## Double shuffle relations for multiple Eisenstein series

- There are relations between MZV, which can be proven by double shuffle but which are not true for Eisenstein series.
- For example the relation

$$
\zeta(6)^{2}=\frac{715}{691} \zeta(12)
$$

can be proven by using the double shuffle relations. But this relation is not true for Eisenstein series, because there are cusp forms in weight 12 , i.e. for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
G_{6}(\tau)^{2}=\frac{715}{691} G_{12}(\tau)+c \cdot \Delta
$$

## But why?

## "So you study these things just to give alternative proofs for easy \& well-known results?"

No....

- In the theory of multiple zeta values modular forms appear in several ways.
- There are relations between multiple zeta values which "come from cusp forms".
- Understanding the failure of the double shuffle relations for multiple Eisenstein series explain these relations.
- This failure is still not well understood.


## Summary

- Multiple zeta values (MZV) are multiple version of the Riemann zeta values.
- Q-linear relation between these real numbers can be proven by expressing the product of two MZV in two different ways. (harmonic \& shuffle product)
- There also exist multiple version of the classical Eisenstein series given by multiple Eisenstein series.
- Multiple Eisenstein series also fulfill "some but not all" of the double shuffle relations.
- This "some but not all" is crucial to understand the modular aspect of MZV, but still not well understood so far.


## Thank you for your attention!

