A combinatorial approach to classical modular forms inspired by multiple zeta values

Henrik Bachmann - Universität Hamburg

Jahrestagung der Deutschen Mathematiker-Vereinigung 2015 23.09.2015

Henrik Bachmann - Universität Hamburg A combinatorial approach to classical modular forms inspired by multiple zeta values

.

Definition

For even k>2 the Eisenstein series of weight k is defined by

$$G_k(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus (0,0)} \frac{1}{(m\tau + n)^k} = \zeta(k) + \frac{(2\pi i)^k}{(k-1)!} \sum_{n>0} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^n \,,$$

where $\tau \in \{x + iy \in \mathbb{C} \mid y > 0\}, q = \exp(2\pi i \tau)$ and $\sigma_{k-1}(n) = \sum_{d \mid n} d^{k-1}$.

- The Eisenstein series G_k is a modular form of weight k for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.
- The spaces of modular forms for ${
 m SL}_2({\mathbb Z})$ and their dimensions are well understood.
- For even k the Riemann zeta values $\zeta(k)$ are known to be rational multiples of $\pi^k,$ e.g.

$$\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}, \quad \zeta(4) = \frac{\pi^4}{90}, \quad \zeta(6) = \frac{\pi^6}{945}, \quad \zeta(8) = \frac{\pi^8}{9450}.$$

(4 回 2 4 回 2 4 回 2 4

With all this knowledge the following Propositon ist absolutely trivial:

Proposition

We have the following identity

$$G_4^2(\tau) = \frac{7}{6}G_8(\tau) \,.$$

Proof:

- G_4^2 and G_8 are modular forms of weight 8
- The space of weight $8 \ {\rm modular}$ forms has dimension 1.
- Their Fourier expansion both have $\zeta(4)^2 = rac{7}{6}\zeta(8)$ as their constant term.

With all this knowledge the following Propositon ist absolutely trivial:

Proposition

We have the following identity

$$G_4^2(\tau) = \frac{7}{6}G_8(\tau) \,.$$

Proof:

- G_4^2 and G_8 are modular forms of weight 8
- $\bullet\,$ The space of weight 8 modular forms has dimension 1.
- Their Fourier expansion both have $\zeta(4)^2 = rac{7}{6}\zeta(8)$ as their constant term.

But how do you prove this without knowing modular forms and $\zeta(4)^2=\frac{7}{6}\zeta(8)$?

In this talk I try to present a purely combinatorial way to prove such relations.

For this we need...

- Multiple zeta values (MZV) multiple version of the Riemann zeta values
- Double shuffle relations a toolbox to prove relations between MZV
- Multiple Eisenstein series multiple version of the Eisenstein series

Definition

For natural numbers $s_1 \ge 2, s_2, ..., s_l \ge 1$, the multiple zeta value (MZV) of weight $k = s_1 + \cdots + s_l$ and length l is defined by

$$\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_l) := \sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_l > 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_l^{s_l}}$$

By \mathcal{MZ}_k we denote the space spanned by all MZV of weight k and by \mathcal{MZ} the space spanned by all MZV.

- The product of two MZV can be expressed as a linear combination of MZV with the same weight (harmonic product)
- MZV can be expressed as iterated integrals. This gives another way (**shuffle product**) to express the product of two MZV as a linear combination of MZV.
- $\bullet\,$ These two products give a number of Q -relations (double shuffle relations) between MZV.

In the smallest length the harmonic product reads

$$\begin{split} \zeta(s_1) \cdot \zeta(s_2) &= \sum_{n_1 > 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1}} \sum_{n_2 > 0} \frac{1}{n_2^{s_2}} \\ &= \sum_{n_1 > n_2 > 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} n_2^{s_2}} + \sum_{n_2 > n_1 > 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} n_2^{s_2}} + \sum_{n_1 = n_2 > 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1 + s_2}} \\ &= \zeta(s_1, s_2) + \zeta(s_2, s_1) + \zeta(s_1 + s_2) \,. \end{split}$$

ヘロト 人間 とくほ とくほとう

In the smallest length the harmonic product reads

$$\begin{split} \zeta(s_1) \cdot \zeta(s_2) &= \sum_{n_1 > 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1}} \sum_{n_2 > 0} \frac{1}{n_2^{s_2}} \\ &= \sum_{n_1 > n_2 > 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} n_2^{s_2}} + \sum_{n_2 > n_1 > 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} n_2^{s_2}} + \sum_{n_1 = n_2 > 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1 + s_2}} \\ &= \zeta(s_1, s_2) + \zeta(s_2, s_1) + \zeta(s_1 + s_2) \,. \end{split}$$

For length $1 \mbox{ times length } 2 \mbox{ the same argument gives }$

$$\begin{split} \zeta(s_1) \cdot \zeta(s_2, s_3) &= \zeta(s_1, s_2, s_3) + \zeta(s_2, s_1, s_3) + \zeta(s_2, s_3, s_1) \\ &+ \zeta(s_1 + s_2, s_3) + \zeta(s_2, s_1 + s_3) \,. \end{split}$$

Multiple zeta values can also be written as iterated integrals. For example

$$\zeta(2,3) = \int_{1>t_1>t_2>t_3>t_4>t_5>0} \underbrace{\frac{R(t_1)B(t_2)}{2}}_{2} \underbrace{\frac{R(t_3)R(t_4)B(t_5)}{3}}_{3},$$

with the differential forms $R(t) = \frac{dt}{t}$ and $B(t) = \frac{dt}{1-t}$.

ヘロト 人間 とくほ とくほとう

Multiple zeta values can also be written as iterated integrals. For example

$$\zeta(2,3) = \int_{1 > t_1 > t_2 > t_3 > t_4 > t_5 > 0} \underbrace{\frac{R(t_1)B(t_2)}{2}}_{2} \underbrace{\frac{R(t_3)R(t_4)B(t_5)}{3}}_{3},$$

with the differential forms $R(t) = \frac{dt}{t}$ and $B(t) = \frac{dt}{1-t}$.

Multiplying two such integrals results in the sum of all possible shuffles of the integrants

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(2) \cdot \zeta(3) &= \int_{1 > t_1 > t_2 > 0} R(t_1) B(t_2) \cdot \int_{1 > u_1 > u_2 > u_3 > 0} R(u_1) R(u_2) B(u_3) \\ &= \int_{1 > t_1 > t_2 > u_1 > u_2 > u_3 > 0} \dots + \int_{1 > t_1 > u_1 > t_2 > u_2 > u_3 > 0} \dots + \dots \end{aligned}$$

ヘロト 人間 とくほ とくほとう

Suppose we have two types of cards (red and blue).

• MZV correspond to a deck of these cards



 Multiplication of MZV corresponds to shuffling two of these decks (+counting multiplicities)



For example the product $\zeta(2)\cdot\zeta(3)$ can be evaluated as

$$\zeta(2) \cdot \zeta(3) = \zeta(2,3) + 3\zeta(3,2) + 6\zeta(4,1)$$

• □ • □ • □ =

These two representations for the product give a large family of linear relations between MZV.

$$\begin{split} \zeta(3,2) + 3\zeta(2,3) + 6\zeta(4,1) &\stackrel{\text{shuffle}}{=} \zeta(2) \cdot \zeta(3) \stackrel{\text{harmonic}}{=} \zeta(2,3) + \zeta(3,2) + \zeta(5) \,. \\ &\implies 2\zeta(2,3) + 6\zeta(4,1) \stackrel{\text{double shuffle}}{=} \zeta(5) \,. \end{split}$$

But there are more relations between MZV. e.g.:

$$\zeta(2,1) = \zeta(3).$$

These follow from the "extended double shuffle relations" where one use the same combinatorics as above for " $\zeta(1) \cdot \zeta(2)$ " in a formal setting.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

Now we have enough tools to prove $\zeta(4)^2 = \frac{7}{6}\zeta(8)$.

With the harmonic (h) and shuffle (s) product we obtain

$$\zeta(4) \cdot \zeta(4) \stackrel{h}{=} 2\zeta(4,4) + \zeta(8) , \tag{1}$$

$$\zeta(4) \cdot \zeta(4) \stackrel{s}{=} 2\zeta(4,4) + 8\zeta(5,3) + 20\zeta(6,2) + 40\zeta(7,1) \tag{2}$$

$$\zeta(3) \cdot \zeta(5) \stackrel{h}{=} \zeta(3,5) + \zeta(5,3) + \zeta(8), \qquad (3)$$

$$\zeta(3) \cdot \zeta(5) \stackrel{s}{=} \zeta(3,5) + 3\zeta(4,4) + 7\zeta(5,3) + 15\zeta(6,2) + 30\zeta(7,1)$$
(4)

From which we deduce

$$\zeta(4)^2 = 2\zeta(4,4) + \zeta(8) = \underbrace{\frac{2}{3}\left((4) - (3)\right)}_{=0} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\left((2) - (1)\right)}_{=0} + \frac{7}{6}\zeta(8).$$

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

But how can we prove $G_4(\tau)^2 = \frac{7}{6}G_8(\tau)$?

- Introduce multiple Eisenstein series.
- Show that product of two multiple Eisenstein series can also be express by the harmonic and the shuffle product.
- With this one can use the exact same proof as before by replacing ζ with G.

Definition

For $s_1,\ldots,s_l\geq 2$ we define the multiple Eisenstein series of weight $k=s_1+\cdots+s_l$ and length l by

$$G_{s_1,\ldots,s_l}(\tau) := \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 \succ \cdots \succ \lambda_l \succ 0 \\ \lambda_i \in \Lambda_\tau}}^{\prime} \frac{1}{\lambda_1^{s_1} \ldots \lambda_l^{s_l}},$$

where $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z} au + \mathbb{Z}$ are lattice points and the order \succ on $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z} au$ is given by

$$m_1\tau + n_1 \succ m_2\tau + n_2 :\Leftrightarrow (m_1 > m_2 \lor (m_1 = m_2 \land n_1 > n_2)) .$$

It is easy to see that these are holomorphic functions in the upper half plane and that they fulfill the harmonic product, i.e. as for MZV we have

$$G_2(\tau) \cdot G_3(\tau) = G_{2,3}(\tau) + G_{3,2}(\tau) + G_5(\tau).$$

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

What about the shuffle product?

Remember for MZV we have

$$\zeta(2) \cdot \zeta(3) = \zeta(3,2) + 3\zeta(2,3) + 6\zeta(4,1) \,.$$

This equation does not make sense for multiple Eisenstein series if we replace ζ by G since there is no definition of $G_{4,1}.$

Question

What is a good definition of G_{s_1,\ldots,s_l} for $s_1 \ge 2, s_2,\ldots,s_l \ge 1$ such these series also "fulfill" the shuffle product ?

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Theorem (B., K. Tasaka 2014)

For all $s_1, \ldots, s_l \ge 1$ there exist shuffle regularized multiple Eisenstein series $G_{s_1,\ldots,s_l}^{\sqcup \sqcup}$ with the following properties:

- They are holomorphic functions on the upper half plane having a Fourier expansion with the multiple zeta values as the constant term.
- They "fulfill" the shuffle product.
- For integers $s_1, \ldots, s_l \geq 2$ they equal the multiple Eisenstein series

$$G^{\sqcup \sqcup}_{s_1,\ldots,s_l}(\tau) = G_{s_1,\ldots,s_l}(\tau)$$

and therefore they fulfill the harmonic product in these cases.

Proof sketch: Uses a beautiful connection of the Fourier expansion of multiple Eisenstein series to the coproduct of formal iterated integrals.

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト … ヨ

The Theorem enables one to use the double shuffle relations for products of multiple Eisenstein series $G_{s_1,\ldots,s_l} \cdot G_{r_1,\ldots,r_m}$ whenever $s_1,\ldots,s_l,r_1,\ldots,r_m \ge 2$.

Proposition

We have the following identity

$$G_4^2(\tau) = \frac{7}{6}G_8(\tau).$$

Alternative proof: Use the double shuffle relations for $G_4 \cdot G_4$ and $G_3 \cdot G_5$.

All algebraic relations between Eisenstein series can be proven this way.

- There are relations between MZV, which can be proven by double shuffle but which are not true for Eisenstein series.
- For example the relation

$$\zeta(6)^2 = \frac{715}{691}\zeta(12)$$

can be proven by using the double shuffle relations. But this relation is not true for Eisenstein series, because there are cusp forms in weight 12, i.e. for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$

$$G_6(\tau)^2 = \frac{715}{691}G_{12}(\tau) + c \cdot \Delta$$

"So you study these things just to give alternative proofs for easy & well-known results?"

No....

- In the theory of multiple zeta values modular forms appear in several ways.
- There are relations between multiple zeta values which "come from cusp forms".
- Understanding the failure of the double shuffle relations for multiple Eisenstein series explain these relations.
- This failure is still not well understood.

- Multiple zeta values (MZV) are multiple version of the Riemann zeta values.
- Q-linear relation between these real numbers can be proven by expressing the product of two MZV in two different ways. (harmonic & shuffle product)
- There also exist multiple version of the classical Eisenstein series given by multiple Eisenstein series.
- Multiple Eisenstein series also fulfill "some but not all" of the double shuffle relations.
- This "some but not all" is crucial to understand the modular aspect of MZV, but still not well understood so far.

Thank you for your attention!

• □ • □ • □ =